Impact of Baseline Heart Failure Severity on Efficacy of Vutrisiran in Patients with Transthyretin Amyloidosis with Cardiomyopathy in the HELIOS-B Trial: A Subgroup Analysis

Mathew S. Maurer¹, Ronald M. Witteles², Faroog H. Sheikh³, Daniel Rodriguez Duque⁴, Patrick Y. Jay⁴, Emre Aldinc⁴, Satish A. Eraly⁴, Julian D. Gillmore⁵ 1Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA: 2Stanford University School of Medicine and Stanford Amvloid Center, Stanford, CA, USA: 3MedStar Heart and Vascular Institute, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington DC, USA: ⁴Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁵National Amyloidosis Centre, UCL, Division of Medicine, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

Conclusions

- In these exploratory analyses, vutrisiran demonstrated evidence of benefit versus placebo in all-cause mortality and recurrent CV events, functional capacity, guality of life, and cardiac biomarkers across a range of baseline disease severities in patients enrolled in HELIOS-B
- Greatest benefit was observed in patients with earlier, less severe disease, highlighting the need for timely diagnosis and starting effective therapy as soon as possible

Introduction

Transthyretin Amyloidosis with Cardiomyopathy

- In ATTR-CM, accumulation of wild-type or variant TTR amyloid fibrils in the heart¹⁻⁵ causes
- worsening heart failure, increased hospitalizations, and reduced survival6-10

HELIOS-B Study

- The HELIOS-B study (NCT04153149) evaluated vutrisiran, a subcutaneously administered RNA interference therapeutic, in patients with ATTR-CM in a Phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled trial1
- Vutrisiran reduced the risk of all-cause mortality and recurrent CV events (CV hospitalizations and urgent heart failure visits) versus placebo, and also preserved functional capacity and quality of life¹

Objective

· To assess the consistency of vutrisiran effect versus placebo in patients with different baseline heart failure severities in HELIOS-B

Methods

HELIOS-B Study Design

 The HELIOS-B study is evaluating the efficacy and safety of vutrisiran over a double-blind period of up to 36 months and an open-label extension period of up to 24 months, during which all patients receive vutrisiran¹¹ (Figure 1)

Figure 1. HELIOS-B Study Design



*NT-proBNP levels of >300 ng/L and <8500 ng/L (or > PRandomization was stratified according to the use of tafamidis at ss I or II and age <75 years vs all others). ents with atrial fibrillation). ^bR

Baseline Disease Severity Group Analyses

- Baseline NAC stage 1 or 2/3

- · In these exploratory analyses, the effect of vutrisiran versus placebo on selected endpoints was evaluated in the overall and monotherapy populations by different heart failure severities according to:
- Baseline NYHA class I. II. or III - Baseline Columbia early stage (score 1-3) or intermediate/late stage (score 4-9) - Baseline NT-proBNP levels of ≤2000 ng/L or >2000 ng/L Baseline NT-proBNP tertiles of <1368 ng/l

^an = 249^{, b}n = 160^{, c}n = 257^{, d}n = 164^{, e}n = 144

Thank you to the patients, their families, Investigators, study staff, and collaborators for their participation in the HELIOS-B study

1368-2691 ng/L, and >2691 ng/L

Acknowledgments: Medical writing assistance was provided by Rachael Powis. PhD of Adelphi Communications Ltd. UK and funded by Alnylam Pharmaceuticals in accordance with Good Publication Practice Guid Funding: This study was funded by Alnylam Pharmaceuticals

Presented at: American College of Cardiology (ACC) Annual Scientific Session, March 29-31, 2025, Chicago, IL, USA,

Results **Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics**

- · Baseline heart failure severity was generally comparable across the treatment groups, except that among the patients in the monotherapy population, NT-proBNP (Table 1) and troponin I (data not shown) levels were higher in the vutrisiran arm than in the placebo arm
- · Baseline demographics and characteristics were generally similar across the key baseline heart failure severity groups in the overall (Table 2) and monotherapy populations (data not shown)
- · Some of the heart failure severity groups included low patient numbers; data in these groups should be interpreted with caution

Table 1. Patient Groups by Baseline Heart Failure Severity

Peeeline		Overall Po (N = 6	pulation 54)ª	Monotherapy Population (N = 395)				
baseline parameter		Vutrisiran (N = 326)	Placebo (N = 328)	Vutrisiran (N = 196)	Placebo (N = 199)			
	I	49 (15.0)	35 (10.7)	15 (7.7)	12 (6.0)			
NYHA class, n (%)	II 250 (76.7)		258 (78.7)	172 (87.8)	169 (84.9)			
	ш	27 (8.3)	35 (10.7)	9 (4.6)	18 (9.0)			
	≤2000 ng/L	161 (49.4)	181 (55.2)	81 (41.3)	107 (53.8)			
NT-proBNP level, n (%)	>2000 ng/L	165 (50.6)	147 (44.8)	115 (58.7)	92 (46.2)			

Overall Populat

			Baseline N	Baseline NT-proBNP Level							
	1	I		I	I	II	≤2000) ng/L	>200	0 ng/L	
	Vutrisiran (N = 49)	Placebo (N = 35)	Vutrisiran (N = 250)	Placebo (N = 258)	Vutrisiran (N = 27)	Placebo (N = 35)	Vutrisiran (N = 161)	Placebo (N = 181)	Vutrisiran (N = 165)	Placebo (N = 147)	
Age, years, median (IQR)	77.0 (72.0, 80.0)	76.0 (70.0, 80.0)	77.0 (72.0, 81.0)	76.0 (72.0, 80.0)	77.0 (71.0, 81.0)	76.0 (71.0, 80.0)	76.0 (70.0, 79.0)	75.0 (70.0, 79.0)	78.0 (74.0, 81.0)	77.0 (73.0, 80.0	
Males, n (%)	49 (100.0)	33 (94.3)	226 (90.4)	241 (93.4)	24 (88.9)	32 (91.4)	148 (91.9) 140 (87.0)	166 (91.7)	151 (91.5)	140 (95.2)	
wtATTR, n (%)	44 (89.8)	30 (85.7)	220 (88.0)	229 (88.8)	25 30 (92.6) (85.7	30 (85.7)		159 (87.8)	149 (90.3)	130 (88.4)	
Tafamidis use at baseline, n (%)	34 (69.4)	23 (65.7)	78 (31.2)	89 (34.5)	18 (66.7)	17 (48.6)	80 (49.7)	74 (40.9)	50 (30.3)	55 (37.4)	
6-MWT, median (IQR), m	422.3 (375.0, 485.4)	421.8 (358.9, 480.0)	360.0 (298.7, 435.3) ^a	383.0 (323.4, 450.0)	318.5 (256.0, 429.4)	295.0 (244.7, 345.0)	406.2 (339.9, 472.0) ^b	405.0 (340.7, 467.5)	332.1 (264.4, 410.5)	360.0 (291.0, 411.6)	
KCCQ-OS, mean (SD), points	85.4 (12.7)	83.7 (15.1)	72.0 (19.2)ª	73.2 (19.3)°	58.8 (20.2)	54.2 (17.0)	75.4 (19.1)	74.4 (19.1)	70.6 (19.6) ^d	69.6 (20.6) ^e	
NT-proBNP, median (IQR), ng/L	1458 (838, 2703)	1285 (776, 2045)	2159 (1227, 3455)	1814 (1080, 3080)	2468 (1760, 3796)	2563 (1401, 3885)	1126 (807, 1599)	1110 (776, 1479)	3294 (2589, 4579)	3323 (2576, 4424)	
Troponin I, median (IQR), ng/L	65.0 (38.0, 99.3)	68.6 (30.3, 130.0)	73.8 (48.4, 117.8)	63.6 (40.4, 104.8)	48.6 (33.6, 140.8)	71.4 (47.7, 121.6)	53.6 (34.5, 81.2)	55.1 (33.6, 81.0)	89.4 (59.6, 143.7)	81.8 (53.0, 121.9)	

Impact of Vutrisiran on the Composite Endpoint of All-Cause Mortality and Recurrent CV Events and on Standalone All-Cause Mortality by Baseline Heart Failure Severity

- Vutrisiran reduced the risk of the composite endpoint of all-cause mortality and recurrent CV events versus placebo, regardless of baseline heart failure severity, defined by NYHA class and NT-proBNP levels (<2000 ng/L and >2000 ng/L), in the overall and monotherapy populations enrolled in HELIOS-B (Figure 2); similar results were observed for standalone all-cause mortality (data not shown)
- In analyses of other baseline heart failure severity measures (Columbia stage, NAC stage, and NT-proBNP tertiles), similar trends for risk reduction of all-cause mortality and recurrent CV events were observed with vutrisiran versus placebo, with greatest benefit seen in patients with earlier, less severe disease (Table 3)

Figure 2. Composite of All-Cause Mortality and Recurrent CV Events during the Double-Blind Period by Baseline Heart Failure Severity, in the Overall and Monotherapy Populations

Table 3. Composite of All-Cause Mortality and Recurrent CV Events during the Double-Blind Period by

			Overall Population	Monotherapy Reputation							
Subgroup	Number o Vutrisiran	f Patients Placebo	overall r operation	Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Number Vutrisira	of Patie in Place	nts	Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)			
NYHA class							1				
1	49	35		0.54 (0.27, 1.10)	15	12		0.32 (0.12, 0.87			
	250	258		0.77 (0.57, 1.03)	172	169		0.79 (0.55, 1.12)			
	27	35		0.68 (0.33, 1.41)	9	18		0.31 (0.09, 1.02			
NT-proBNP			1				1				
52000 ng/L	161	181	— —	0.53 (0.35, 0.79)	81	107		0.50 (0.28, 0.92)			
>2000 ng/L	165	147		0.80 (0.56, 1.13)	115	92		0.71 (0.47, 1.07)			
		ō	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4	1.6 1.8			0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1	.6 1.8			
		+	Envore Vutrisiran Envore Pl	acabo			Envore Vutrisiran Envore Pla				

	Baconno mourri a	un unu mon	omorapy i opulation		
٦		All-Cause M	ortality and Recurrent CV	Events	
		Ove	rall Population	Monot	nerapy Population
		N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI

		Ove	rall Population	Monoti	nerapy Population
		N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
	Early (score 1-3)	312	0.69 (0.45, 1.07)	179	0.69 (0.37, 1.28)
Columbia stage	Intermediate/late (score 4-9)	342	0.74 (0.53, 1.02)	216	0.66 (0.45, 0.97)
	1	437	0.49 (0.34, 0.72)	251	0.48 (0.29, 0.82)
NAC stage	2/3	217	1.08 (0.74, 1.56)	144	0.90 (0.58, 1.38)
	<1368 ng/L	217	0.52 (0.30, 0.88)	120	0.56 (0.25, 1.26)
NT-proBNP tertiles	≥1368-<2691 ng/L	218	0.61 (0.37, 1.00)	128	0.56 (0.29, 1.08)
	≥2691 ng/L	219	0.93 (0.64, 1.35)	147	0.82 (0.54, 1.22)

Impact of Vutrisiran on Measures of Functional Capacity and Health Status/Quality of Life by Baseline Heart Failure Severity

· Benefits in 6-MWT distance (Figure 3A) and KCCQ-OS score (Figure 3B) were observed with vutrisiran versus placebo across baseline heart failure severity subgroups in the overall and monotherapy populations enrolled in HELIOS-B

Figure 3, LS Mean Difference between Vutrisiran and Placebo in Change from Baseline in 6-MWT (A) and KCCQ-OS (B) at Month 30, by Baseline Heart Failure Severity, in the Overall and Monotherapy Populations

A	A 6-MWT										B KCCQ-OS							
	Overall Population Monotherapy Population									Overall Population Monother					Monotherapy Population	otherapy Population		
Subgroup	Number o Vutrisiran	f Patients Placebo		LS Mean Difference (95% CI)	Number of Vutrisiran	f Patients Placebo		LS Mean Difference (95% CI)	Subgroup	Number o Vutrisirar	f Patients 1 Placebo		LS Mean Difference (95% CI)	Number o Vutrisira	f Patients 1 Placebo		LS Mean Difference (95% Cl)	
NYHA class			1				1		NYHA class			+				1		
1	45	28		34.81 (-1.57, 71.19)	12	9		62.92 (-31.32, 157.17)	1	46	29		6.60 (-2.53, 15.73)	14	10 -		7.87 (-13.66, 29.39)	
	225	224	· • • • •	22.58 (7.53, 37.64)	150	141		29.01 (9.54, 48.47)		235	236		5.89 (2.04, 9.75)	158	149		8.28 (3.23, 13.34)	
	24	33		28.39 (-12.10, 68.89)	8	16	· · · · · ·	72.19 (2.70, 141.68)		25	33		3.53 (-9.23, 16.30)	8	16		17.49 (-2.95, 37.93)	
NT-proBNP									NT-proBNP									
≤2000 ng/L	147	158	· · · · · ·	35.19 (17.58, 52.81)	71	89	:	44.02 (17.46, 70.58)	≤2000 ng/L	154	165		8.56 (4.29, 12.82)	76	95	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.13 (5.92, 18.33)	
>2000 ng/L	147	127		21.71 (2.80, 40.62)	99	77		32.06 (8.64, 55.48)	>2000 ng/L	152	133	÷••	3.76 (-1.46, 8.98)	104	80		7.79 (1.05, 14.54)	
-40-20 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 -40-20 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160									-20-15-1	0-5 0 5 10 15 20 2	5 30 35 40		-20-15-1	0-5 0 5 10 15 20 25 30	35 40			
Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisiran Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisiran									Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisiran Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisiran						acebo Favors Vutrisiran			

Impact of Vutrisiran on Cardiac Biomarkers by Baseline Heart Failure Severity

 Benefits in NT-proBNP (Figure 4A) and troponin I (Figure 4B) levels were observed with vultrisiran versus placebo across baseline heart failure severity subgroups in the overall and monotherany populations. enrolled in HELIOS-B

Figure 4. Adjusted Geometric Mean Fold-Change Ratio in NT-proBNP (A) and Troponin I (B) Levels from Baseline to Month 30, by Baseline Heart Failure Severity, in the Overall and Monotherapy Populations

A	A NT-proBNP										B Troponin I								
	Overall Population Monotherapy Population									-		Overall Population					Monotherapy Population		
Subgroup	P Number of Patients Adjusted Geometric Vutrisiran Placebo Fold-Change Ratio (1		Adjusted Geometric Mean Fold-Change Ratio (95% Cl)	Number of Patients Vutrisiran Placebo		A Fe	Adjusted Geometric Mean old-Change Ratio (95% CI)		Subgroup Number of Patients Vutrisiran Placebo			Adjusted Geometric Mean Fold-Change Ratio (95% CI)		Number of Patients Vutrisiran Placebo		Adjusted Geometric Mean Fold-Change Ratio (95% CI)			
NYHA class			1					4			NYHA class				1				
1	42	23		0.74 (0.55, 0.98)	10	5	—	1	0.45 (0.28, 0.72)		1	41	22		0.81 (0.64, 1.02)	9	5		0.57 (0.36, 0.89)
	163	162	H H (0.68 (0.60, 0.76)	94	90		1	0.60 (0.51, 0.71)			152	157		0.67 (0.59, 0.75)	88	88		0.55 (0.48, 0.64)
	18	18	\rightarrow	0.71 (0.49, 1.02)	5	4			0.36 (0.22, 0.58)			18	18		0.71 (0.54, 0.94)	5	4		0.46 (0.26, 0.82)
NT-proBNP			:					1			NT BND								
≤2000 ng/L	126	116	H H H (1)	0.61 (0.53, 0.70)	53	56		1	0.49 (0.40, 0.61)		≤2000 ng/L	121	112		0.65 (0.58, 0.74)	51	54		0.52 (0.43, 0.62)
>2000 ng/L	97	87		0.78 (0.66, 0.91)	56	43		1	0.65 (0.53, 0.81)		>2000 ng/L	90	85		0.71 (0.61, 0.82)	51	43		0.55 (0.44, 0.68)
0 02 04 06 08 10 12 14 0 02 04 06						0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4			0 02 04 06 08 10 12 14				0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4					
Favors Vutrisiran Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisiran Favors Placebo							rs Placebo			Favors Vutrisiran Favors Placebo Favors Vutrisira				Favors Vutrisiran	Favors Placebo				

References: 1 Hawkins et al. Ann Med 2015;47:625-38: 2 Rubero et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:2872-92: 3 Maurer et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68:161-72: 4 Živković: et al. Amvloid 2020;27:142-3: 5

Remembers 1, handhad a Anni Mee 2010 Ar 25–960. Thousand a start 25–97. A start 25 and 25 and

Disclosures: MSM reports grant support from NIH R01HL139671 and AG081582; funding to his institution for research from Ainylam Pharmaceuticals, Attralus, BridgeBio, Intellia, and lonis; and personal fees from Akcea, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Attralus, Intellia, and Nov Mordisk. RMW reports consulting for Alexion, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, BridgeBio, Novo Nordisk, and Pficer, PHS reports research support from Abbott, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, and Intellia, and consulting for Abbott, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, BridgeBio, Pfizer, Procytion, and XVIVO. DRD is an external employee of Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, BridgeBio, Ptizer, Procytion, and XVIVO. DRD is an external employee, Intellia, and Ionis.



Material Presented



